

**Pre-Final Community Health and Safety Plan Review Comments**  
**Lower Passaic River Phase I Removal Action**  
**November 19, 2010**

| No. | Document Section(s)                                   | Comment   |
|-----|---|---|
| 1   | Page 1, Section 1                                     | Suggest acknowledging that the Corps will be conducting the Construction oversight.   |
| 2   | Page 2 (Section 1) or Page 7 (Diagram in Section 2.2) | Suggest showing the location of the Phase II work area in relation to the Phase I work area since Phase II is mentioned several times in the preceding paragraphs.  |
| 3   | Page 3, Figure in Section 1                           | Please add USACE after NJDEP and before the CAG in the first sentence on the right.   |
| 4   | Page 6, Section 2.1, 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph        | Revise the end of the last sentence as follows, "...Restoration Project, which is managed by a partnership of federal and state regulatory and resource agencies, including EPA, USACE, NJDEP, USFWS and NOAA."   |
| 5   | Page , Figure in Section 2.1                          | Change/Alter label for Phase I/II to indicate Removal Action Project so it will match bulleted paragraph title (or change para title to match box label).   |
| 6   | Page 14, Section 2.4.4, Sand Separation paragraph     | "Coarse solids" refer to larger particles of sand; in the next paragraph coarse solids refer to "smaller sand particles." Please clarify.   |
| 7   | Page 15, Section 2.4.5, 2nd paragraph                 | States that "debris will be rinsed." Please clarify where the rinse water will be collected and disposed of.  |
| 8   | Page 16, Section 2.4.6                                | Suggest mentioning that there will also be 2ft of sand placed outside the sheet pile enclosure to mitigate the loss of sediments as the sheet pile walls are removed.   |
| 9   | Page 17, Section 2.4.6                                | Revise the end of the last sentence as follows, "...implementation plan in coordination with the partnership of federal and state regulatory and resource agencies, including EPA, USACE, NJDEP, USFWS and NOAA." |
| 10  | Page 25, Section 4.2.3                                | In addition to notification of the Coast Guard, suggest notifying all commercial navigation users directly as identified in the USACE Navigation Analysis (USACE, 2010).  |
| 11  | Page 29, Section 4.2.8, last bullet                   | Suggest stating that 2-ft of sand will be placed outside the enclosure for scour protection. Any other protective measures?   |

|    |                        |  |
|----|------------------------|--|
| 12 | Page 33, Section 5.3   | Clarify that the verification of targeted sediment materials also refers to the cells of EM and HAZ designation.   |
| 13 | Page 33, Section 5.3   | Suggest stating that the sheet pile wall will be visually inspected daily to ensure integrity of enclosure to prevent leaks/release of sediment during dredging.   |
| 14 | Page 34, Section 5.4   | Define the frequency of pipeline inspection of submerged portion. How often is “a regular basis”? In addition, how will the inspections be conducted? Divers?  |
| 15 | Page 37, Section 5.9   | Discussion is necessary regarding monitoring of resuspended sediment during sheet pile removal.  |
| 16 | Page 38, Section 5.10  | Remedial measures talk about fans to ventilate area. This is after mentioning perimeter monitoring. Without seeing more detail to include the action levels, it seems ineffective in terms of protection. If the perimeter monitoring has reached some action level, the exposure levels in the work area are probably significantly above action level. Fans in the work area are not likely to significantly reduce the levels reaching the perimeter. |
| 17 | Page 39, Section 5.12  | Suggest at least wind direction be monitored on site locally to help guide perimeter monitoring and emergency response planning.   |
| 18 | Page 40, Section 5.13  | Suggest stating that demobilization would be evaluated for off-site removal of sheet pile walls and other equipment since they could possibly be re-used for Phase II.   |
| 19 | Page 53, Section 7.1.3 | Revise the toll-free hotline to “1-877-251-4575”. Also, please clarify that a separate # will be set up for the CHIRP process; EPA’s current hotline # won’t be used for that.   |
| 20 | Page 55, Section 7.2   | Suggest adding that CHIRP will have a multi-lingual aspect. Also, clarify expectation of how weekly progress reports will be provided to the CAG – direct email/snail mail from Tierra to CAG, facilitator or the co-chairs? or from EPA? Reflect also in end of Figure on page 56, step 4.  |
| 21 | Page 61, Section 8     | Fix typo in 1 <sup>st</sup> para – should be Occupational Safety and Health Administration.  |
| 22 | Page 63, Section 8.1   | Change EPA’s On-Scene Coordinator to EPA.  |
| 23 | Page 66, Section 8.3   | Many more of these positions are now known.  |
| 24 | Pages 72-74, Section   | Delete Chelsea Albucher, Lisa Baron, Mark  |

|    |                                 |   |
|----|---------------------------------|---|
|    | 10.3                            | Herzberg, David Kluesner, Tim Kubiak, Janine Macgregor, Reyhan Mehran and their contact info. |
| 25 | Pages 72-74, Section 10.3       | It appears there may be elected/appointed officials listed. Any recent changes?               |
| 26 | Pages 75-80, Sections 10.4-10.6 | Any recent changes?   |